metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Sultan Muhammad,^a* Muhammad Mazhar,^a Matthias Zeller^b and Allen D. Hunter^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Quiad-I-Azam University, Islamabad 45320, Pakistan, and ^bSTaRBURSTT-Cyberdiffraction Consortium at YSU and Department of Chemistry, Youngstown State University, 1 University Plaza, Youngstown, OH 44555-3663, USA

Correspondence e-mail: mazhar42pk@yahoo.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å R factor = 0.024 wR factor = 0.063 Data-to-parameter ratio = 20.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. Bis[2-(dimethylamino)ethanol- $\kappa^2 N$,O]-(2,4-pentanedionato- $\kappa^2 O$,O')nickel(II) acetate

The Ni atom in the title complex, $[Ni(C_5H_7O_2)(C_4H_{11}NO)_2]$ - $(C_2H_3O_2)$, has distorted octahedral coordination. The O atoms of the acetate ion are hydrogen bonded to the OH groups of the 2-(dimethylamino)ethanol ligands.

Received 1 February 2006 Accepted 14 March 2006

Comment

The title compound, (I), is a synthetic precursor for the possible deposition of nickel oxide thin films through aerosolassisted chemical vapour deposition (AACVD). It was synthesized by the reaction of Ni(dmaeH)₂(OAc)₂ with thallium acetylacetonate (dmaeH is dimethylaminoethanol), and crystals grown from toluene were analysed by single-crystal diffraction at 100 K. The compound was found to crystallize in the non-centrosymmetric orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12_1$; the crystal under investigation was not racemically twinned.



The molecular structure of complex (I) is shown in Fig. 1, and selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 1. The cationic nickel complex has a distorted octahedral coordination around the Ni^{II} atom, with two bidentate chelating dimethylaminoethanol ligands and a bidentate acetylacetonate group. The acetate anion is hydrogen bonded to the OH groups of the two 2-(dimethylamino)ethanol ligands (Fig. 1); hydrogen-bonding parameters are listed in Table 2.

The N atoms are in mutually *trans* positions, with an N1– Ni1–N2 angle of 170.07 (5)°. The Ni1–N1 bond length of 2.1489 (13) Å is slightly shorter than that of Ni1–N2 [2.1738 (13) Å]. The Ni1–O1, Ni1–O2 and Ni1–O3 bonds are very similar to the corresponding bonds in the related compounds [Ni(acac)₂(dmaeH)] (acac is acetylacetonate; Williams *et al.*, 2001) and [Ni(acac)(dmaeH)₂]Cl (Sohail *et al.*,

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved



Figure 1

View of the title complex, showing the atom-labelling scheme and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Dashed lines indicate $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds.

2005). Not surprisingly, the Ni–O bonds of the formally neutral coordinated dmaeH groups are longer than those of the anionic acac ligand. The *cis* O–Ni–O and O–Ni–N angles in (I) are close to the ideal octahedral value of 90°, with the exception of the bite angles of the chelating dmaeH groups. Distortions of the *trans* O–Ni–O angles from the ideal value of 180° are also evident and are comparable with those of related compounds (Hubert-Pfalzgraf *et al.*, 1997; Downie *et al.*, 1971).

Experimental

 $Ni(dmaeH)_2(OAc)_2$ (0.12 g, 0.32 mmol), prepared according to a literature procedure (Williams *et al.*, 2001), was reacted with Tl(acac) (0.1 g, 0.32 mmol) in dry toluene under inert conditions using Schlenk tube techniques. The resulting product was recrystallized from toluene at 263 K, giving crystals of (I) suitable for single-crystal X-ray analysis.

Crystal data

$[Ni(C_5H_7O_2)(C_4H_{11}NO)_2](C_2H_3O_2)$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 395.14$	Cell parameters from 8795
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$	reflections
a = 8.5456 (10) Å	$\theta = 2.6-30.6^{\circ}$
b = 12.5165 (15) Å	$\mu = 1.03 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 18.109 (2) Å	T = 100 (2) K
V = 1937.0 (4) Å ³	Block, blue
Z = 4	$0.52 \times 0.52 \times 0.34 \text{ mm}$
$D_x = 1.355 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	

Data collection

F

a

1

Bruker SMART APEX CCD	4812 independent reflections
diffractometer	4717 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
o scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.027$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.3^{\circ}$
(SADABS in SAINT-Plus;	$h = -11 \rightarrow 11$
Bruker, 2003)	$k = -16 \rightarrow 16$
$T_{\min} = 0.571, \ T_{\max} = 0.704$	$l = -23 \rightarrow 24$
9915 measured reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$ $wR(F^2) = 0.063$ S = 1.074812 reflections 230 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0383P)^{2} + 0.3423P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.002$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.66 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.26 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ Absolute structure: (Flack, 1983), with 2077 Friedel pairs Flack parameter: -0.008 (8)

Table 1 Selected geometric parameters (Å, $^{\circ}$).

N1-Ni1	2.1489 (13)	Ni1-O3	2.0102 (11)
N2-Ni1	2.1738 (13)	Ni1-O1	2.0962 (11)
Ni1-O4	2.0080 (10)	Ni1-O2	2.0961 (11)
O4-Ni1-O3	91.68 (4)	O1-Ni1-N1	81.78 (5)
O4-Ni1-O1	88.95 (4)	O2-Ni1-N1	91.92 (5)
O3-Ni1-O1	174.06 (5)	O4-Ni1-N2	90.11 (5)
O4-Ni1-O2	171.97 (4)	O3-Ni1-N2	95.28 (5)
O3-Ni1-O2	87.67 (4)	O1-Ni1-N2	90.63 (5)
O1-Ni1-O2	92.53 (4)	O2-Ni1-N2	81.98 (5)
O4-Ni1-N1	96.11 (5)	N1-Ni1-N2	170.07 (5)
O3-Ni1-N1	92.28 (5)		. ,

Table 2Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O1−H1···O6	0.84 (2)	1.73 (2)	2.5634 (16)	169 (2)
O2−H2···O5	0.87 (2)	1.70 (2)	2.5627 (15)	172 (2)

The hydroxyl H atoms were located in a difference density Fourier map, restrained to have equal O-H distances within a standard deviation of 0.02 Å and refined with an isotropic displacement parameter 1.5 times U_{eq} of the parent O atom. All other H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.98 and 0.99 Å) and were refined with U_{iso} (H) values of 1.5 (methyl) or 1.2 (methylene) times U_{eq} of the parent C atom. Methyl H atoms were allowed to rotate to best fit the experimental electron density. The s.u. values of the cell parameters are taken from the software, recognizing that the values are unreasonably small (Herbstein, 2000).

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2002); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The role of the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan is acknowledged for funding through grant No. 20-91/Acad-(R)-419. The diffractometer was funded by NSF grant No. 0087210 and by Ohio Board of Regents grant No. CAP-491.

References

- Bruker (2000). SHELXTL. Version 6.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2002). *SMART* for WNT/2000. Version 5.630. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2003). SAINT-Plus. Version 6.45. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Downie, T. C., Harrison, W., Raper, E. S. & Raper, M. A. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 706-712.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Herbstein, F. H. (2000). Acta Cryst. B56, 547-557.
- Hubert-Pfalzgraf, L. G., Kessler, V. G. & Vaissermann, J. (1997). *Polyhedron*, **16**, 4197–4203.
- Sohail, M., Molloy, K. C., Mazhar, M., Kociok-Köhn, G. & Khosa, K. M. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m2001–m2002.
- Williams, P. A., Jones, A. C., Bickley, J. F., Steiner, A., Davies, H. O., Leedham, T. J., Impey, S. A., Garcia, J., Allen, S., Rougierd, A. & Blyrd, A. (2001). J. Mater. Chem. 11, 2329–2334.